MISSOURI 2010 WRP/EWPP-FPE RANKING FORM

Landowner Name:		Ар	plication Date:
Address:			Owned Since:
#Error			
Phone Number:			
County::	IPS Code:		
Tract No:	arm No:		
Congressional District:	lydrologic Unit:		
Section: Township:	R	lange:	
Latitude: Lon	gitude:		
Planning Team Members:		V	VRP #:
Easement Length: EWPP-FP Perpetu Len	al 30 Year: gth of C/S Agreement:	Restoration Cost Share Agr (10 year	reement: minimum)
A NRCS employee with appropriate design app		review and approve the fea	asibility of the planned
restoration activities before this preliminary pl	an can be submitted.		
1. ECO-REGION VALUE (See attached map, 5	5, 25, 30):		
COST FACTORS			
2. EASEMENT COST/AC.: (Geographical Cap	or Landowner Bid) =		
EASEMENT COST FACTOR: (2800 - Ease	ment Cost/Ac.) / 50 =		
3. TOTAL RESTORATION COSTS:	, _		
RESTORATION COST PER ACRE			
RESTORATION COST FACTOR: (400-Cos	t/Δc \ / 20 -		
REGIONATION GOOT FACTOR: (400 GOS	(/Ac.) / 20 =		
ECOLOGICAL FACTOR			
Hydrology			
A. RESTORABLE ACRE	B. WETLAND/REST(C. NON-RESTORABLE ACRES
PC	· -	JRED	
FW	Natural wetland		Upland Sand
FWP	Leveed System:		NW
	Open Water		
Non-Hydric: Degraded wetland *	Riparian		Other
* Degraded Wetland condition/justification:			
Total "A":	Total "B":	Т	otal "C"
	Total acres	in easement:	
4. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA			
RESTORABLE TO WETLAND:	>	< .50 =	Α.
F DEDOENT OF TOTAL FACENERIT ASSA	N DEADY		ru.
5. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA / RESTORED TO WETLAND:		40	B.
RESTORED TO WETLAND.		x .10 =	
6. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA V	/ITH EXISTING		
PC OR FW:		X .20 =	

REV 12/2009 Printed: 1/26/2010

MISSOURI 2010 WRP/EWPP-FPE RANKING FORM

8. PERCENTAGE OF THE SITE THAT CONTRIBUTES ADDITIONAL FLOODWAY (downgrading le

% /3 =

Water Quality

9. Easement is ranked based on location within a 100-year floodplain and proximity to an impaired stream or lake. Most points (8) granted for easements within a 100-year floodplain and adjacent to a stream or lake shown in 303d_l_wrpmodified2009.shp or 303d_a_wrpmodified2009.shp. If adjacent to a 303d stream or lake but not in the 100-year floodplain, points are reduced to 4. If easement is adjacent to a stream shown in 305b_l_wrpmodified.shp, it garners 2 points. All other scenarios are 0 points. Accompanying guidelines define "adjacent" and "within a 100-year floodplain".

Adjacent to 303d listing AND within 100 year FP: 8
Adjacent to 303d listing but outside of 100 year FP: 4
Adjacent to 305b listing: 2
All Others: 0

Name of impaired stream segment as noted in 303d/305b files:

Other Ecological Factors

10.	EASEMENT SIZE:		
	> 320 Acres	15	
	160 - 319	12	
	100 - 159	10	
	50 - 99	6	
	20 - 49	4	

11. PROXIMITY TO STATE/FEDERAL/PRIVATE WETLAND ARE

15	5 - 6 miles	5
10	6 - 7 miles	4
9	7 - 8 miles	3
8	8 - 9 miles	2
7	9 - 10 miles	1
6	> 10 miles	0
	10 9 8 7	10 6 - 7 miles 9 7 - 8 miles 8 8 - 9 miles 7 9 - 10 miles

^{*} Site considered has boundary that abuts existing State, Federal or Private Wetland Area boundary.

Cowardin System Classification

Proximal Wetland Area:

BEFORE AFTER

REV 12/2009 Printed: 1/26/2010

MISSOURI 2010 WRP/EWPP-FPE RANKING FORM

12. Federally Listed species: Site intersects heritage data of one or more federally listed threatened or endangered species (E or C in FEDSTAT), and will directly impact recovery or protection of that species
State Listed species: Site intersects heritage data of one or more state listed threatened or endangered species (E in STSTAT), and will directly impact recovery or protection of that species.

Great: Site intersectsheritage data of one or more species of conservation concern (S1 - S3 in SRank) not fitting criteria above and restoration will likely provide habitat for those species.

willimar. Site does not intersect any	nemage data.			
CONTRIBUTIONS TO STATE OR F	EDERAL THREAT	ENED, RARE, OR ENDANGER	ED SPECIES	
Federal species - critical State species - critical Great Minimal	20 10	Species Identified:		
Species checked by (check one):		RCS Heritage Database Data	■ Visual*	
		o be documented with Natural H y Butler, MDC, Wildlife Division,		
Provide management justification for	Federal or State sp	pecies claimed:		
13. IS THE SITE SUBJECT TO AN WILL PREVENT SITE FROM BEING * Flowage easement will not rest	FULLY RESTORE	ED? YES = 0 NO = 30		
14. SITE IS LOCATED IN AQUATION			, or one or	
15. PERCENT OF SITE CROPPED IN PREVIOUS 4 of 6 years			% / 10 =	
TOTAL OFFER INDEX				
EASEMENT PAYMENT CALCULAT Cropped Acres (include I (cropped 4 of the last 6 y Forested acres and Other Donated Acres	row crop, CRP and rears per FSA crop			
Donated Acres		Total		
	Т	otal Administrative Costs		
Comments:				
DESIGN APPROVAL	-			
		Date		

REV 12/2009 Printed: 1/26/2010